

The Usurper and the power behind White Supremacy Part TWO

USURP:

Etymology: Middle English, from Anglo-French 14th century

1. To seize and hold (as office, place, or powers) in possession by force or without right for example to usurp a throne.
2. To take or make use of without right. For example to usurped the rights to her life story.
3. To take the place of by or as if by force.
4. To seize or exercise authority or possession wrongfully.
(Usurpation or Usurper)

This is probably one of the most important topics related to the deception concerning the Hebrew Israelites. I want to begin this topic with a little ground work lets layout three distinct groups concerning the Israelites of the Bible. Each group can be distinguished from the following titles British Israelites, Jewish Israelites and Hebrew Israelites.

British Israelites	Jewish Israelites	Hebrew Israelites
Anglo Saxons or W.A.S.P They Embrace Yahweh/GOD They Embrace the Messiah. They Embrace the state of Israel They reject the chosen people of the Most High.	Zionists or Jews They embrace Yahweh/GOD They reject the Messiah They Embrace the state of Israel. They reject the chosen people of the Most High.	Negros / African Americans / Blacks. *They Embrace <i>AHAYAH</i> They Embrace the Messiah They Embrace land of Israel. They Embrace the chosen people of the Most High.

****The name of the most high is AHAYAH as read in Exodus 3, 13 – 15:***

And it reads:

“And Moses said unto The Most High, Behold, when I come unto the children of Israel, and shall say unto them, The God of your fathers hath sent me unto you; and they shall say to me, what is his name? What shall I say unto them?

And The Most High said unto Moses, AHAYAH ASER AHAYAH: and he said, thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, AHAYAH hath sent me unto you.

And THE MOST HIGH said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, The YAH of your fathers, the YAH of Abraham, the YAH of Isaac, and the YAH of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name for ever, and this is my memorial unto all generations.”

Now think about those scriptures for moment.....The name of The Most high is IAM! I WILL BE THAT WHAT I WILL BE. His name is everything. Now that’s powerful. The original Hebrew language was based on characters not letters. Each character had a meaning. It is part of the Canaanite languages which is African. In indigenous cultures people name their young based on the child’s character. That’s why people where renamed in scriptures. Look at Lauren Hill she waited months until she named their baby. It’s an African thing. That why we rename ourselves Hebrew name once we realize who we are like myself Dawid Yacob which was given to me by my mother and it suite me well. Dawid meaning: I am beloved by The Most High and Yakob meaning he who supplants or to (uproot). Now think about this when Yakob grabbed the heel of Esau he was showing his determination at birth. If you look up the word supplant it means as followed: To replace something or someone, often as a result of being more powerful. Which is another word for (USURP).....wow how appropriate. Now you see how things are going according to the Most Highs plan. Now think about that... Okay one last thing to think about before we continue with this usurper study. I

read this from a book titled *The Nephilim and the Pyramid of the Apocalypse* written by Patrick Heron. The book has some good info in it, but he is a WASP practicing white supremacy so we have to read with caution. Now in his book on page 16 it read concerning the Jewish scribes when they were retranslating the bible into Babylonian Hebrew or Talmudic Hebrew.

It reads:

“When copying was being carried out, the Hebrew scribes had enormous reverence for the text of the Bible. Copies were checked, double checked and re-checked many times. If a small mistake was made, the whole page would be re-written. When they came to the word 'YAHWEH' meaning God, they would burn the pen and change their clothes.”

Okay now think about that. “They would burn the pen and change their clothes” Now knowing what we know about the people that translated the bible is this suppose to be a good thing?

Let's get back to the usurpers. The word USURP is a word created by the Anglo-French in the 14th century. So if they created the word then they most have been doing it! Just like the word Vandalism. Do you know that this word comes from the Vandals? Here is a little bit of history concerning the vandals from Wikipedia:

“The Vandals were an East Germanic tribe that entered the late Roman Empire during the 5th century, perhaps best known for their sack of Rome in 455. Although they were not notably more destructive than other invaders of ancient times, Renaissance and Early Modern writers who idealized Rome tended to blame the Vandals for its destruction. This led to the coinage of "vandalism", meaning senseless destruction, particularly the defacing of artworks that were completed with great effort. “

So these are the Germanic people of the nation of Japheth. Remember when the USA and Great Britain invaded Iraq how they were destroying all of the ancient art works in Babylon, or how the French shoot off the face of the sphinx in Egypt. Can you see a pattern here?

I have one comment about the Sphinx. His Story states that the Sphinx was not defaced by the French, but by the a Sufi Muslim named Muhammad Sa'im al-Dahr

Here is the Wikipedia article:

“The Egyptian Arab historian al-Maqrīzī, writing in the 15th century AD, attributes the loss to iconoclasm by Muhammad Sa'im al-Dahr, a Sufi Muslim from the khanqah of Sa'id al-Su'ada. In AD 1378, upon finding the Egyptian peasants making offerings to the Sphinx in the hope of increasing their harvest, Sa'im al-Dahr was so outraged that he destroyed the nose, and was hanged for vandalism.”

But there is a bit of conflicting history according author Joel Freeman of the book in titled - *Return to Glory the powerful stirring of the Black Man*. Dominique Vivant was a French artist, writer, diplomat, author, and archaeologist. Dominique was appointed first director of the Louvre Museum by Napoleon after the Egyptian campaign of 1798-1801.

“Dominique Vivant etched the image of the Sphinx of Giza around 1798, prior to its defacement. In his written account, Denon stated, "...Though its proportions are colossal, the outline is pure and graceful; the expression of the head is mild, gracious, and tranquil; the character is African, but the mouth, and lips of which are thick, has a softness and delicacy of execution truly admirable; it seems real life and flesh. Art must have been at a high pitch when this monument was executed ...”

I placed these images here so you can see the images next to each other. If you look closely you can see that further acts of **Vandalism** were done to the Sphinx after these drawing look

at how the lips and the nose have bigger whole in them. I wonder could this be the second attack. You be the judge.

The first group of Usurper I would like to discuss comes from the nation of Japhat which call themselves British Israelites, Anglo Saxons or better know as WASP. They say they are the choosen of Yahweh the true seed of Israel. So these people are saying that they are of the Nation of Shem. Now how can you be from the two nations at the same time unless there is race mixing? But we know from your doctrine that Anglo Saxons are of pure Aryan blood. So you claim you are pure Aryan then how can you be from two nations. The only way to make this work is to ignore facts ignore history and usurp the history of the true Israelites. This is nothing more than a luciferian agenda designed to place blinder on the true seed of Israel.

“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.”

That was John 8 verse 44

So let's break down this usurper once and for all and reject their claims as the true seed of Israel The Most Highs chosen seed to free this world from the clutches of the fallen ones. In order to do this justice I will use their own documents to disqualify the claims of these lying, venom spitting, luciferian worshipping, Japhites.

Let's start with White Supremacy

You need to deeply understand that this system of White Supremacy is not some organic manifestation thru time. But it is a system that has been designed for a specific purpose. Now there is an author named *Neely Fuller* he wrote book why back in 1957 called **THE UNITED INDEPENDENT COMPENNATORY CODE/SYSTEM/CONCEPT a textbook/workbook for thought, speech and/or action for victims of racism (WHITE SUPREMACY)**. Now the title says it all. This brother breaks it down so well that you cannot deny that this system is no accident. Here is his explanation of White Supremacy on page 20. “And It Reads”

Now there are two statements that you should pay attention to in **Fuller's** explanation which are “classified” and “have been classified”. What have you been classified as Negro, African American, Black or None white. So we are subjected to whatever the system calls us. We cannot even name our selves. Does this sound familiar?

Here are a few verses from Chronicles and it reads:

This message is for David to warn him what would happen to his seed if he forgets the guidance of the Most High:

“2Ch 7:19 But if ye turn away, and forsake my statutes and my commandments, which I have set before you, and shall go and serve other gods, and worship them;

2Ch 7:20 Then will I pluck them up by the roots out of my land which I have given them; and this house, which I have sanctified for my name, will I cast out of my sight, and will make it to be a proverb and a byword among all nations.

2Ch 7:21 And this house, which is high, shall be an astonishment to everyone that passeth by it; so that he shall say, Why hath the LORD done thus unto this land, and unto this house?

2Ch 7:22 And it shall be answered, Because they forsook the LORD God of their fathers, which brought them forth out of the land of Egypt, and laid hold on other gods, and worshipped them, and served them: therefore hath he brought all this evil upon them.

Now where in history has the Aryan nation, Anglo Saxons, Holy Romans so called British Israelites been called a byword by other nations? Wait don't they own all the nations? " Okay so now we read Nelly Fullers explanation on White Supremacy from the perspective of a Black Man / Non White / African American / Negro.

Before we continue let's break down the word Supreme, because every word has a deeper meaning.

Here are a few definitions I got from the web:

Etymology: Latin supreme's, super. Of superus upper — more at superior

Date: 1513

1. Highest in authority; holding the highest place in authority, government, or power. "He that is the supreme King of kings." (Shak)
2. Highest; greatest; most excellent or most extreme; utmost; greatest possible
3. <Botany> Situated at the highest part or point. The Supreme, the Almighty; God.
4. Ultimate, final <the supreme sacrifice>

Okay now how does this word relate to a Supreme Deity? And it reads:

"Supreme deity is term that constitutes two words. The first word supreme implies highest, best, superlative, ultimate and 'absolute'. The deity means god, divinity, divine being, holy being or universal master. Supreme deity indicates 'supreme god' or 'absolute divinity'. There are many definitions or theories on Supreme deity. There is no one over him. He is considered to be the Ultimate force of life.

Many religions offer varied explanations. Some religions consider this world to have been created by Him. Some philosophies say He also sustains the universe and destroys it too. Other theories hold that He is not involved in anything after the creation. He is the effulgent light and true happiness. Supreme Deity is a being most powerful, all-knowing, omnipotent and benevolent."

Okay think about this word the next time you here Supreme Court or air Supremacy think about that **Air superiority ultimate power over the air.....** and of course White Supremacy.

White Supremacy the Wikipedia Definition:

White supremacy is the belief, and promotion of the belief, that white people are superior to people of other racial backgrounds. The term is sometimes used specifically to describe a political ideology that advocates the social and political dominance by whites. [1] White supremacy, as with racial supremacist in general, is rooted in ethnocentrism and a desire for hegemony. [2] White supremacy has frequently resulted in anti-black and anti-Semitic violence. Different forms of white supremacy have different conceptions of who is considered white, and not all white supremacist organizations agree on who is their greatest enemy. [3]

Systemic white supremacy:

White supremacy was dominant in the United States before the American Civil War and for decades after Reconstruction.[4] In large areas of the United States, this included the holding of non-whites (specifically African Americans) in chattel slavery. The outbreak of the Civil War saw the desire to uphold white supremacy cited as a cause for state secession [5] and the formation of the Confederate States of America.[6]

In some parts of the United States, many people who were considered non-white were disenfranchised, barred from government office, and prevented from holding most government jobs well into the second half of the twentieth century. Many U.S. states banned interracial marriage through anti-miscegenation laws until 1967, when these laws were declared unconstitutional. White leaders often viewed Native Americans as obstacles to economic and political progress, rather than as settlers in their own right. White supremacy was also dominant in South Africa under apartheid and in parts of Europe at various time periods; most notably under Nazi Germany's Third Reich. Governments of many European-settled countries bordering the Pacific Ocean limited immigration and naturalization from the Asian Pacific countries, usually on a cultural basis. South Africa maintained its white supremacist apartheid system until the early 1990s.

White Supremacy in Christianity:

Christian Identity is another movement closely tied to white supremacy. Some white supremacists identify themselves as Odinists, although many Odinists reject white supremacy. Some white supremacist groups, such as the South African Boeremag, conflate elements of Christianity and Odinism.

Okay let's not just read over that. What do they mean by Odinism?

This was taken from their own website:

“Odinism is the indigenous religious faith of the Scandinavian, British and other peoples of Northern Europe; it is an amalgam of attitudes, ideas and behaviour, both a personal faith and a communal way of life. In its beginnings Odinism is probably as old as our race. Historically it may be divided into three periods:

1. Before the coming of Christianity
2. Its gradual merging with Christianity and the ensuing Period of Dual Faith.
3. And its efforts in the present century to free itself of Christian influences and to reassert its ancient independence.

When did Britain and the rest of Europe cease to be Odinist?

The first of our Northern countries to succumb to the false promises of the new religion were the Goths, in the fourth century of the Christian era; the Icelanders became Christians by official decree in the year 1000 CE, to be followed by the Scandinavian countries over the next two hundred years. England was "converted" between 597 and 686 CE and Scotland somewhat earlier (although some of the people of Ross-shire were still worshipping the old Gods as late as the seventeenth century). Ireland, when Patrick the Proselytizer landed there in the year 432, was described as "a heathen land"; Dublin and the other principal Irish towns were actually founded by Odinist Vikings, who dedicated the country to the god Thor.”

Okay now we have the British Israelites claiming to be the Hebrews of the scriptures. When the Hebrews were kicked out of the land and cursed for Idol worship. Are the Britain's or the Anglo Saxons experiencing the curses I went over last week? When have they as a people go into slavery? Go and listen to that broadcast again and tell me if their claims hold any water.

To Continue White Supremacy in Christianity:

The World Church of the Creator (now called the Creativity Movement), believes that a person's race is his religion. Aside from this, its ideology is similar to many Christian Identity groups, in their belief that there is a Jewish conspiracy in control of governments, the banking

industry and the media. Matthew F. Hale, founder of the World Church of the Creator has published articles claiming that all races other than white are "mud races".[9] His movement claims that a Racial Holy War is destined to happen, which would eliminate Jews and "mud races" from the planet.

What is the Christian Identity Movement?

“Christian Identity is a label applied to a wide variety of loosely affiliated believers and churches with a radicalized theology. Many promote a Eurocentric interpretation of Christianity. According to Chester L. Quarles, professor of criminal justice at the University of Mississippi, some of the Christian Identity movement's followers hold that non-Caucasian peoples have no souls, and can therefore never earn God's favour or be saved. [1] Believers in the theology affirm that Jesus Christ paid only for the sins of the House of Israel and the House of Judah and that salvation must be received through both redemption and race. In many variations of Christian Identity thought, a key commonality is **British Israelism**, which teaches that many white Europeans are the literal descendants of the Israelites through the ten tribes which were taken away into captivity by the armies of Assyria. Christian Identity asserts in addition that these (White European) Israelites are still God's Chosen People, that Jesus was an Israelite of the tribe of Judah, and that modern Jews are not at all Israelites nor Hebrews but are instead descended from people with Turco-Mongolian blood, or Khazars, or are descendants of the Biblical Esau-Edom who traded his birthright for a bowl of lentil stew. (Genesis 25:29-34)”

Okay now that we laid the foundation of the saviours of our planet. Lets first address the most obvious error laid out by this rocket scientist. I quote “Christian Identity asserts in addition that these (White European) Israelites are still God's Chosen People, that Jesus was an Israelite of the tribe of Judah, and that modern Jews are not at all Israelites nor Hebrews but are instead descended from people with Turco-Mongolian blood, or Khazars, or are descendants of the Biblical Esau-Edom who traded his birthright for a bowl of lentil stew. (Genesis 25:29-34)”

So which one is it Mongolian, Khazars or Esau? And what in the hell is Turco-Mongolian blood? So basically they are referring to the Khazars and Esau the brother of Jacob. Now they got one statement correct which is that the Khazars are not Hebrew because they are Japhetic, but Esau is Hebrew 100%. Esau has more claim to the land then all of them at least he's Shame tic. Esau was only the blood brother of Jacob, same mother and same father you can't get anymore Hebrew then that. So when they say that the Jews are not Hebrews they are specifically speaking about the Khazas. (We will get into that in a future study) The only helpful thing about the British Israelite is that they revile who the true Hebrew is thru the process of elimination. They want to be Israelites so bad that they will destroy there Japhic Ashkenazim brothers to do it. They do all the research make videos, write books, create websites man there is so much information out there my next blog is going to be easy. Although this one was pretty fun, it would be comical if it wasn't so serious.

Here is a something I wrote about our people:

The sad thing is that most of us so called Negros or black Christian don't want to accept who we are because we have been so heavily programmed. So what I am attempting to do is something called reverse programming. And how I accomplish this is by proving to us whom the Israelites are thru the process of elimination. You see the system of white supremacy has wreaked havoc on all of us, white people included. I just saw a video on YouTube of some white dude hanging with the some Hebrew Israelites wearing the whole outfit and everything

yelling about how Farrakhan is deceiving people about the Hebrews. And he was speaking like he was a brother. This is havoc on the mind! Once we tear down these walls of deception the only thing left standing is truth. Then the question will not be are the Hebrews black the questions will be which African nation are the Hebrews today and where are they now. Because the message of the Most High is with these people and it will save all whom will hear it. Not as the British Israelites say only white people all people black, red and in between all of us are bless thru his word.

Quick Break!!! Play MLK Free at last

Now I'm not done with the British Israelites oh no I am just getting started. I am having way too much of a good time to stop now. Now I am going to read a quote from an article that I found on one of these ARYAN NATION Websites but first check out this announcement from the "Whitest Website on Earth" this is a true statement from there site.

Here is the announcement:

"The United Identity Church of Christ has been merged with the Church of Jesus Christ Christian ~ Aryan Nations, and the Texas based "Church of the Sacred Race" will be re-established as the Aryan Nations Approved Identity ministry for the State of Texas." Now the reason why I read that is to draw your attention to how they love calling themselves Christians and speaking about Jesus, like the one the call Jesus is some white racist with a confederate bandana tied around his head. These people have even usurped Christianity, so people of colour can be Christian now? Think about that for a moment...

Okay now here is a quote from the Whitest Website on Earth:

"Esau, having committed racial adultery, conceiving mongrel sons and daughters, of the first two of his three wives, had defiled the Holy Seed line of Abraham, with strange women and strange children. Although Yahweh had already announced to Isaac's wife that Esau would be rejected, and Jacob accepted, Esau's heritage was from hence polluted, and only from his Ishmaelite wife were any proper seed recognisable, remember, Hagar the Egyptian was also of the **Aryan-Adamic Bloodline**, being a descendant of Naphtuhim, of Genesis 10:13. From this line were the only possible acceptable seed of Esau that could be married and incorporated into Israel after three generations."

Okay lets read Genesis 10: 13.

"Gen 10:13 And Mizraim begat Ludim, and Anamim, and Lehabim, and Naphtuhim,"

So who is Naphtuhim?

"**Naphtuhim** a Hamitic tribe descended from Mizraim (Gen. 10:13). Others identify this word with Napata, the name of the city and territory on the southern frontier of Mizraim, the modern Meroe, at the great bend of the Nile at Soudan. This city was the royal residence, it is said, of Queen Candace (Acts 8:27)."

Well where is the city Napate? Let's read the book of Acts,

"Act 8:26 and the angel of the Lord spake unto Philip, saying, Arise, and go toward the south unto the way that goeth down from Jerusalem unto Gaza, which is desert.

Act 8:27 and he arose and went: and, behold, a man of Ethiopia, an eunuch of great authority under Candace queen of the Ethiopians, who had the charge of all her treasure, and had come to Jerusalem for to worship,

Act 8:28 was returning, and sitting in his chariot read Esaias the prophet.”

NOW LISTEN TO WHAT HE WAS READING:

Isa 53:1 Who hath believed our report? and to whom is the arm of the LORD revealed?

Isa 53:2 For he shall grow up before him as a tender plant, and as a root out of a dry ground: he hath no form nor comeliness; and when we shall see him, there is no beauty that we should desire him.

Isa 53:3 He is despised and rejected of men; a man of sorrows, and acquainted with grief: and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was despised, and we esteemed him not.

Isa 53:4 Surely he hath borne our griefs, and carried our sorrows: yet we did esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted.

Isa 53:5 But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace was upon him; and with his stripes we are healed.

Isa 53:6 All we like sheep have gone astray; we have turned every one to his own way; and the LORD hath laid on him the iniquity of us all.

Isa 53:7 He was oppressed, and he was afflicted, yet he opened not his mouth: he is brought as a lamb to the slaughter, and as a sheep before her shearers is dumb, so he openeth not his mouth.

Isa 53:8 He was taken from prison and from judgment: and who shall declare his generation? for he was cut off out of the land of the living: for the transgression of my people was he stricken.

Isa 53:9 And he made his grave with the wicked, and with the rich in his death; because he had done no violence, neither was any deceit in his mouth.

Isa 53:10 Yet it pleased the LORD to bruise him; he hath put him to grief: when thou shalt make his soul an offering for sin, he shall see his seed, he shall prolong his days, and the pleasure of the LORD shall prosper in his hand.

Isa 53:11 He shall see of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied: by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; for he shall bear their iniquities.

Isa 53:12 Therefore will I divide him a portion with the great, and he shall divide the spoil with the strong; because he hath poured out his soul unto death: and he was numbered with the transgressors; and he bare the sin of many, and made intercession for the transgressors.

How can anyone deny YAHUSHAUH the Saviour, the Messiah after hearing that?

Okay back to who Naphtuhim was:

Act 8:27 and he arose and went: and, behold, a man of Ethiopia, an eunuch of great authority under Candace queen of the Ethiopians, who had the charge of all her treasure, and had come to Jerusalem for to worship,

Now did you catch that? What color are the Ethiopians?

But wait, now who is Mizraim?

Mizraim

“A son of Ham, and father of various African races, Genesis 10:6, but particularly of the Egyptians, to whom his name was given. Mizraim is also the Hebrew word for Egypt in the Bible, and this country is still called Misr in Arabic. *From the ATS Bible Dictionary*”

Mizraim

(Hebrew: Modern Mitzráyim s the Hebrew name for the land of Egypt, with the dual suffix perhaps referring to the "two Egypt's": Upper Egypt and Lower Egypt. According to Genesis 10, Mizraim (a son of Ham) was the younger brother of Cush and elder brother of Phut and Canaan, whose families together made up the Hamite branch of Noah's descendants. Mizraim's sons were Ludim, Anamim, Lehabim, Naphtuhim, Pathrusim, Casluhim (out of whom came Philistim), and Caphtorim. [1] Now let's take a look back in time let's start with that great Greek Alexander the Great.

Okay so who is this Ham? And it reads from good old Wikipedia:

Now beware white supremacy alert!!! And it reads:

In the 19th century, as an application of scientific racism, the "Hamitic race" became a subgroup of the Caucasian race, alongside the Semitic race, grouping the non-Semitic population's native to North Africa, the Horn of Africa and South Arabia, including the Ancient Egyptians. The Hamitic theory suggested that this "Hamite race" was superior to or more advanced than Negroid populations of Sub-Saharan Africa. In its most extreme form, in the writings of C. G. Seligman, it asserted that all significant achievements in African history were the work of "Hamites" who migrated into central Africa as pastoralists, bringing technologies and civilizing skills with them. Theoretical models of Hamitic languages and of Hamitic races were interlinked in the early twentieth century.

So basically Hamitic means Semitic and Japhetic now because the black African isn't human I guess. So what did we just land here from some spaceship?

This is from Wikipedia august 8th 2010 this was written after the discovery of the African sculptors which dated back to the 12th century:

“African art has a long and surprisingly controversial history. Up until recently, the designation "African" was usually only bestowed on the arts of "Black Africa", the peoples living in Sub-Saharan Africa. The non-black peoples of North Africa, the people of the Horn of Africa, as well as the art of ancient Egypt, generally were not included under the rubric of African art. Recently, however, there has been a movement among African art historians and other scholars to include the visual culture of these areas, since all the cultures that produced them, in fact, are located within the geographic boundaries of the African continent. The notion is that by including all African cultures and their visual culture in African art, laypersons will gain a greater understanding of the continent's cultural diversity. Since there was often a confluence of traditional African, Islamic and Mediterranean cultures, scholars have found that drawing distinct divisions between Muslim areas, ancient Egypt, the Mediterranean and **indigenous black African societies** makes little sense. Finally, the arts of the people of the African Diaspora, prevalent in Brazil, the Caribbean and the south-eastern United States, have also begun to be included in the study of African art.”

Now thinks about that for a moment.... Okay next.

So after reading Wikipedia's definition of the Hamitic race you still would not know if black people are even Hamites. We all know that Ham represents the black race the first clue is the fact that all the names that derived from Ham make up the different African nations. So when

they try to state that Ham is a blending of Japheth and Shem you know this is White Supremacy doing what White Supremacy dose. Lying it's ass off. Here is how they try to put all black people in one place. Under Canaan so all the rest of the blacks on the whole planet that have black, brown, light brown, blue black skin come from Canaan.

And it reads:

The term Hermitic originally referred to the peoples believed to have been descended from the biblical Ham, one of the Sons of Noah. When Ham dishonours his father, Noah pronounces a curse on him, stating that the descendents of his son Canaan will be "servants of servants". Of Ham's four sons, Canaan fathered the Canaanites, while Mizraim fathered the Egyptians, Cush the Cushites and Phut the Libyans. [1]

Now it gets real good.

During the Middle Ages, this was interpreted to define Ham as the ancestor of all Africans. The curse was regularly interpreted as having created visible racial characteristics in Ham's offspring, notably black skin. *(So our skin is a curse....Black skin is a curse?)* According to Bernard Lewis, the sixth-century Babylonian Talmud states that "the descendants of Ham are cursed by being Black and are sinful with a degenerate progeny." [2] Both Arab and later European and American slave traders used this story to justify African slavery. [3][4]

Now let's go back and read that again.

In fact, the Bible restricts the curse to the offspring of Ham's son Canaan, who occupied the Levant, not to his other sons who are supposed to have populated Africa. According to Edith Sanders, this restriction was increasingly emphasised by 19th century theologians, who rejected the curse as a justification for slavery. [4]


And this is the beginning of the free slave movement.

Ham was black and all of his sons were black.

Here is a map of the Table of nations it is a view of Ham's genealogy this is provide in the book After the Flood. I highly suggest you buy this book and read thru it ASAP. This book is focused on The Nations of Japheth it tracks the whole family. Now remember Japheth can trace his line because of all of the kings and rulers from thousands of years. In order to be a King you had to provide the Genealogical record. So we can clearly see where the Anglo Saxon comes from and it is not from Shem.

Can you see how they twist History, genealogy, scriptures, education anything to be called the chosen seed of the Most High? Why are they trying so hard? What good are they doing with the name of the Father? Look at this world and ask yourself are these devils the representation of the MOST HIGH? Are they the seed of Israel or the seed of Lucifer? Do they call upon AHAYAH? Did they earn the right to be in Israel? Come on Hebrews think! *Now look at the Table of Nations and you tell me if the British Israelites come from Hagar.*

NATION OF HAM



Break plays Israelites!

Now here is a gift from me to the White Anglo Saxon Protestant I discovered a little book called *After the Flood* by Bill Cooper, it is a very detail account on the history of the Anglos, Brits, Franks Celts all of them. It goes way back to the time of Noah.


Material from *After the Flood* by Bill Cooper,

“To be fair, the Saxons do not seem to have brought over with them a detailed chronicled history of their nation like that possessed by the Britons or, indeed, the Irish Celts which we shall examine later. That is not to say that none existed, of course just that none has survived to the present day from that pre-emigration period. What has survived, however, is a detailed genealogy of the pre-migration, and hence pre-Christian, kings of the Saxons, and this enables us to take Saxon history back, generation by generation, to the earliest years after the Flood. But this is no new discovery. It was everyday knowledge to the historians of previous centuries. On Thursday 6th July 1600, for example, a certain Elizabethan tourist, Baron Waldstein, visited London's Lambeth Palace. His journal tells us that in one of the rooms there he saw:”

'...a splendid genealogy of all the Kings of England, and another genealogy, a historical one, which covers the whole of time and is traced down from the Beginning of the World.' (1) Later, arriving at Richmond Palace on 28th July, he saw in the library there:

'... beautifully set out on parchment, a genealogy of the kings of England which goes back to Adam.' (2)

Here is a look at Japheth's Table of Nation:



Such genealogies were immensely popular, and as fascinating to the general public as they were to historians and other scholars. As tables of descent, they provided a continuous record of human history from the Creation, through the post-Flood era, down to modern times. But it was these very attributes that made these records unpalatable to certain scholars who

delighted to call themselves Rationalists, and who sought from the 18th century onwards to replace such history with certain anti-biblical notions of their own. (3) Such was their success in this, that today hardly a scholar can be found who would dare to base his history on the truth and reliability of these records. So what is in the early Saxon records that render them so unpalatable to modernist taste, but which might interest us in our present enquiry?

The pre-migration records that have come down to us are in the form of genealogies and king-lists, and I have assembled the table of descent which opens this chapter from each type. That table shows the (sometimes simplified) descent of six of the Anglo-Saxon royal houses of England. The houses are those of Wessex (Occidentalism Saxon); of Lindsey (Lindis fearn); of Kent (Cantwariorum); of Mercia (Merciorum); of Northumbria (Northa hymbrorum); and of East Anglia (Estanglorum). But it is the treatment that these records have received from the hands of modernist scholars that is as fascinating, and as telling, as the records themselves, and we shall here consider the veil of confusion and obscurity that modern scholarship has thrown over them.

We are commonly asked to believe that these six royal families concocted these lists, and that the lists are thus rendered untrustworthy and false. We are asked to accept that, say, the House of Kent concocted a list of ancestral names that just happens to coincide in its earlier portions with that of, say, the House of Northumbria, in spite of the fact that the two kingdoms lay hundreds of miles apart, spoke different dialects and whose people hardly ever wandered beyond their own borders unless it was to fight. And, moreover, that this happened not between just two of the royal houses, but all six! To put it mildly, that is a lot to ask, and we shall take this opportunity to examine these records, whose earlier portions can be dated back to well before the dawning of the Christian era amongst the Saxons, so that they may speak for themselves.

HISTORY OF GREAT BRITAIN:

“What follows is a summary of the history of the early kings of the early Britons as it is given in both Geoffrey of Monmouth and the Welsh chronicles. It is a recorded history that was consigned to oblivion after the massacre, at the instigation of Augustine, of the British monks at Bangor in AD 604 and was thus entirely unknown or ignored by the later Saxon and Norman chroniclers of England. Consequently, it came to be generally and unquestioningly assumed amongst English scholars by the 16th and 17th centuries that no such record had ever existed, and that works such as Geoffrey of Monmouth's or the Welsh chronicle were forgeries and fairy tales. That opinion persists today. We have seen, however, in the previous chapter how these records enjoy a great deal of historical vindication in spite of modernism's cursory and fashionable dismissal of them. But here, plain and unadorned, is the story that the chronicles themselves tell, a story that no child will have learnt at his desk in any school of this land. It spans over two thousand years, and its survival to the present day, being little short of a miracle, is a tribute to those Welsh scholars of old who recognised its importance and preserved it entire for our reading.

Amongst the ancient records that the Britons themselves left behind, there is preserved (in Nennius at least) a list of the ancestors of the early British kings as they were counted generation by generation back to Japheth, the son of Noah. But the history of the Britons as a distinct nation had its beginnings with the fall of Troy, and it is at this point that Geoffrey of Monmouth and the Welsh chronicles take up the story.

Anchises, known to us from other histories, fled with his son, Aeneas, from the burning ruins of Troy, and they made their way to the land that is nowadays called Italy, settling with their people on the banks of the river Tiber around what was later to become Rome. The indigenous population was ruled over by Latinus who received Aeneas and his people with kindness and hospitality, in return for which Aeneas defeated Latinus' foe, Turnus, king of the

Rutuli. He then married the daughter of Latinus, Lavinia, from which union came Aeneas Silvius who later rose to rule over all the tribes of Italy. But it was through the line of his brother Ascanius that the royal lineage was presently to be perpetuated, and of this line was born Ascanius' son Silvius. Silvius seduced an unnamed niece of his grandfather's wife, Lavinia, and it was from their union that his son Brutus was born. The mother of Brutus died whilst giving birth to him, and when he was a lad of fifteen years, Brutus accidentally shot his father dead with an arrow whilst out hunting. For having caused the deaths of both his parents, thus fulfilling a prophecy concerning him, Brutus was exiled out of Italy, the royal line of Aeneas passing into the hands of another. And it is at this point that the history of the Britons as a distinct nation begins.”

So as you heard they have all their history intact! Now why are they tiring to USURP the History of the Hebrew Israelites?

Now listen to what the British Israelites say concerning their claim as the TRUE SEED of The Most High. Is this ignorance or deception? You be the Judge.

The Mind Of White Supremacy Speaks Anglo Saxon:

Mathew Henry's Concise Commentary

"Rom 9:6-13 - The rejection of the Jews by the gospel dispensation, did not break God's promise to the patriarchs.

“The Jews who were rejected, were in fact not of the tribe of Judah in pure racial lineage, therefore they were exempt from Yahweh's promise to the forefathers of a blessed and chosen bloodline. Yahweh did not break His covenant, but the ancestors of the race-mixers did, and for their errors, their descendants are cursed, salvation for them is not allowed, and redemption for them is impossible, they are exempt from Yahweh's promises, and have no right to them, nor any claim to them. In fulfilment with Yahweh's promise, that in Isaac, His seed would be named, we should look for the chosen race under the name of Isaac, and we find it, Isaac's-Sons, Sacc's-Sons, Saxons. Therefore, Yahweh did not break His covenant by the rejection of the so-called "Jews" but was in fact keeping His promise of punishment through the generations of those who violate His laws. The Chosen Race of Yahweh is the Saxon descendancy, and the tribe of Judah who came from the Perezite and Zerahite descendancy was saved, and today, they are still called Anglo-Saxons, like the rest of Aryan Israel.”

Here are some more White Lies:

“· [1] According to Biblical Law, the only way to be the children of the promise is to be of the pure lineage of Israel, with only the occasional exception for others of pure Aryan descendancy, as listed in Genesis 10, to be incorporated through marriage. Notwithstanding this law, negates the promise, and annuls any possibility of the "spiritual seed" promise.

· [2] As a note, as Yahweh stated, only descendants of Israel can be among these races possible for selection, no race can enter without this lineage or purity, and no race can usurp the racial promises of Israel, for any racial claim must be through Israel, or the claim is false and invalid. Yahweh promised in a covenant between Him and Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob that they would be the forefathers of His Chosen Seed, and any other race would be in violation of His own covenant, therefore it is impossible.”

Now here is the conclusion of great British Israelites:

“Further, if "God's Chosen People" would hear the Shepherd's Voice, and follow, where are the so-called "Jews"? After all, they are the ones claiming to be the sheep. It is obvious that they are not and further, not only are they cursed for the sins of their fathers into bondage of sin; they are rejected, and damned. They are the Usurpers of the Holy Scriptures, a damnable pollution of the Israelite stock, in some cases, more often, not even related to the forefathers of Scripture. Christ Himself explained why these so-called "Jews" reject Him and Christianity, it is because they are "of their Father the Devil", "Not of God", "from Beneath",

and "Not His Sheep". Christ made it clear that these people were forbidden and damned, and we need to understand that unless our people reject this modern idea of "judeo-Christianity", there will be no salvation for the real Israelites locked into this cult. The so-called "Jews" are Usurpers."

Which brings to mind the saying "The Tea Pot Calling the Kettle Black" So Hebrews you can clearly see that there has been wool pulled over our eyes? White Power, White Supremacy, Racism, Jim Crow, Slavery, KKK, Discrimination, Partied, British Israelites and they call themselves the true seed of the Most High.

"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places."

End